

Claims 1-19 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 2, 6, 7, 20 & 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,148,200 (hereinafter: "Schallhorn").

INTERVIEW WITH THE EXAMINER

Record is made of an interview with the Examiner on May 18, 2005. In the interview, the application was extensively discussed. The Examiner is hereby thanked for his assistance in the application and the courtesies extended to counsel at that time. Based on the interview summary by the Examiner, the translation of the movement by the subassembly into a movement of the piston was explained and accepted by the Examiner. In accordance with agreement with the Examiner at the interview, applicant has responded to each of the objections and rejections and submits replacement drawing pages in the manner as requested by the Examiner in paragraph 3a-i of the Office Action.

OK
MD
8-16-05